arXiv:1702.05714v1 [math.FA] 19 Feb 2017

CONTINUITY PROPERTIES FOR BORN-JORDAN OPERATORS WITH SYMBOLS IN HÖRMANDER CLASSES AND MODULATION SPACES

MAURICE DE GOSSON AND JOACHIM TOFT

Abstract. We show that the Weyl symbol of a Born-Jordan operator is in the same class as the Born-Jordan symbol, when Hörmander symbols and certain types of modulation spaces are used as symbol classes. We use these properties to carry over continuity and Schatten-von Neumann properties to the Born-Jordan calculus.

1. Introduction

A fundamental question in quantum mechanics concerns quantization. That is, finding rules which takes observables a in classical mechanics into corresponding observables T_a in quantum mechanics. Usually a is a function of the location $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$ and the momentum $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^d$, and T_a is a linear operator which acts between suitable Hilbert spaces of functions on $\mathbf{R}^d$.

For any $t \in \mathbf{R}$, the rule where $a \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ is mapped into the pseudo-differential operator (t-Shubin operator) $\operatorname{Op}_t(a)$, given by

$$(\operatorname{Op}_t(a)f)(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2d}} a((1-t)x+ty,\xi)f(y)e^{i\langle x-y,\xi\rangle}\,dyd\xi$$

when $f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ can be considered as a candidate of quantization. Here the right-hand side should be interpreted in distribution sense when $a \notin L^1$ (see Section 2 for details and notations).

We remark that for $t = 0$, the so-called normal or Kohn-Nirenberg representation

$$a(x,D) = \operatorname{Op}(a) = \operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{KN}}(a) = \operatorname{Op}_0(a)$$

is obtained. The latter was considered in the foundational papers by Kohn and Nirenberg [17] and Hörmander [13]. If instead $t = \frac{1}{2}$, then $\operatorname{Op}_t(a)$ is equal to the Weyl operator (or Weyl quantization) $\operatorname{Op}^w(a)$, introduced by H. Weyl in [28] as a candidate of quantization.

The action of $\operatorname{Op}_t(a)$ can also in convenient ways be described in terms of t-Wigner distributions. In fact, if a, f and g are suitable, then we have

$$(\operatorname{Op}_t(a)f,g)_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)} = (2\pi)^{-d/2}(a,W_t(g,f))_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2d})}, \tag{1}$$

where $W(f,g)$ is the t-Wigner distribution, given by

$$(W_t(f,g))(x,\xi) = (2\pi)^{-d/2}\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(x+ty)\overline{g(x-(1-t)y)}e^{-i\langle y,\xi\rangle}\,dy. \quad (2)$$

Here $(f,\phi) = (f,\phi)_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)} \equiv \langle f,\overline{\phi}\rangle$, where $\langle\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle$ is the action between suitable test functions and their distributions on $\mathbf{R}^d$. In the Weyl case, $t=\frac{1}{2}$, we set $W(f,g) = W_t(f,g)$ and call this the (standard) Wigner distribution of f and g.

In practice, some further rules need to be imposed in quantization. For example, it is essential that T_a above is self-adjoint when a is real, and that the quantization possess properties of symplectic invariance. By adding such conditions, only the Weyl quantization $\operatorname{Op}^w(a)$ among the candidates above remains as a good candidate of quantization. In fact, the symplectic invariance is emphasized already by Hörmander in [14], and by the definition it follows that the (formal) adjoint $\operatorname{Op}^w(a)^*$ of $\operatorname{Op}^w(a)$ for admissible a is given by $\operatorname{Op}^w(\overline{a})$.

The Born-Jordan quantization $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{BJ}}(a)$ is obtained by taking the average

$$\operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{BJ}}(a) \equiv \int_0^1 \operatorname{Op}_t(a)\,dt$$

of $\operatorname{Op}_t(a)$ over $t\in[0,1]$, provided the right-hand side is well-defined. It can be proved that

$$\operatorname{Op}_{BJ}(a) = \operatorname{Op}^w(\Phi * a), \qquad \text{where} \quad \Phi(x,\xi) = (2\pi)^{-d}\operatorname{sinc}\langle x,\xi\rangle. \quad (3)$$

Here sinc is the sinc function, given by

$$\operatorname{sinc} t = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } t=0,\\ \frac{\sin t}{t} & \text{when } t\neq 0.\end{cases}$$

In view of the relation (3) and that $\operatorname{Op}^w(a)^* = \operatorname{Op}^w(\overline{a})$ we have

$$\operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{BJ}}(a)^* = \operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{BJ}}(\overline{a}).$$

Hence the Born-Jordan operators share with Weyl operators the property of being (formally) self-adjoint when their symbol is real. This makes Born-Jordan operators good alternative candidates for quantization.

If $a(x,\xi) = x_j^m\xi_j^l$, for $m,l\geq 0$ being integers, then it follows by straightforward computations that

$$\operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{BJ}}(a) = \frac{1}{l+1}\sum_{k=0}^{l} D_j^{l-k}\circ x_j^m\circ D_j^k.$$

This is precisely the quantization rule, proposed in early days by Born and Jordan [4] (see [10] for details and proofs), and is the reason for denomination $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{BJ}}(a)$ as the Born-Jordan operator or Born-Jordan quantization of a.

By (1) and (3), it follows that

$$(\operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{BJ}}(a)f,g)_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)} = (2\pi)^{-d/2}(a, W_{\mathrm{BJ}}(g,f))_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2d})}$$

for suitable a, f and g, where W_{BJ} is the transform Q in [1] by Boggiatto, de Donno and Oliaro, given by

$$W_{\mathrm{BJ}}(f,g) = \Phi * W(f,g) = \int_0^1 W_t(f,g)\,dt.$$

During the last 10 years, Born-Jordan quantization has also been considered in time-frequency analysis. In this field, time-frequency resolutions, i. e. simultaneously localizations of the time and frequency for signals, are essential. Such resolutions are often performed by considering the so-called short-time Fourier transform of the involved signals. An equivalent way is to replace these short-time Fourier transforms with t-Wigner distributions, since they can be carried over to each others by simple manipulations. A problem with these kind of resolutions concerns interpolating frequencies or so-called ghost frequencies. These frequencies might be absent in the signal, but might appear in the graphs of their resolutions. They originate from interferens of existing frequencies.

Already in [1,2] it is proposed that the transform W_{BJ} can be used in place of the short-time Fourier transform and t-Wigner distributions. Some properties of the W_{BJ} were deduced in [27]. Especially we remark that in [27], Turunen shows that the time-frequency resolutions usually becomes significantly more clear when using W_{BJ} transform instead of t-Wigner distributions. For example, it is shown there that the ghost frequencies miraculously almost disappear when using suitable resolutions based on the W_{BJ} transform.

In the present paper we study some functional-analytic preparations of the Born–Jordan operators ([4]). We focus in particular on their regularity properties in the Schatten-von Neumann classes, which are generalizations of Hilbert–Schmidt operators. In fact, there are several relations between pseudo-differential calculi and Schatten-von Neumann classes (see e. g. [5, 20, 23]). Hence one can expect that some of these properties extend Born-Jordan operators.

We show that several continuity and compactness properties for pseudo-differential calculus carry over to Born-Jordan operators. Especially we prove that if the symbol of a Born-Jordan operator belongs to suitable modulation spaces or in a suitable Hörmander class $S(m,g)$, then the corresponding Weyl symbol belongs to the same class. More precisely, suppose g is a Riemannian metric on $\mathbf{R}^{2d}$, which is strongly feasible in the sense and splitof [5, 20], and let m be a g-continuous and (σ,g)-temperate weight function on $\mathbf{R}^{2d}$. If $a \in S(m,g)$, then we prove that there is a unique $b \in S(m,g)$ such that

$$\operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{BJ}}(a) = \operatorname{Op}^w(b). \tag{4}$$

We note that we may choose m and g in such ways that $S(m,g)$ agree with any Shubin class or any Hörmander class $S^r_{\rho,\delta}$ as well as any SG-class. In particular we are able to cover the continuity properties in [8] for Born-Jordan operators with Shubin symbols.

If instead $a \in M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ for some $p \in [1,\infty]$, $q \in (0,\infty]$ and $\omega(x,\xi,\eta,y) = \omega_0(\eta,y)$ with ω_0 being a moderate weight on $\mathbf{R}^{2d}$, then (4) still holds for some $b \in M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. Consequently, if $a \in M^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ with $q \le \min(p,p')$, then $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{BJ}}(a)$ is a Schatten-von Neumann operator on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$, since similar facts hold for Weyl operators with symbols in modulation spaces (cf. e. g. [21, 23]). In the same way, the continuity and Schatten-von Neumann properties in [5, 20] carry over from Weyl to Born-Jordan case.

Acknowledgement

Maurice de Gosson has been supported by the Austrian research agency FWF (grant number P27773).

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Gelfand-Shilov spaces and their duals.** Let $h \in \mathbf{R}_+$ and $s > 0$ be fixed. Then $\mathcal{S}_{s,h}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is the set of all $f \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{S}_{s,h}} \equiv \sup \frac{|x^\beta \partial^\alpha f(x)|}{h^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}(\alpha!\,\beta!)^s}$$

is finite. Here the supremum is taken over all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{N}^d$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$.

Obviously $\mathcal{S}_{s,h} \subseteq \mathscr{S}$ is a Banach space which increases with h and s. Furthermore, if $s > \frac{1}{2}$, then $\mathcal{S}_{s,h}$ and $\cup_{h>0}\mathcal{S}_{1/2,h}$ contain all finite linear combinations of Hermite functions $h_\alpha(x) = H_\alpha(x)e^{-|x|^2/2}$, where H_α is the Hermite polynomial of order $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^d$. Since such linear combinations are dense in $\mathscr{S}$, it follows that the (L^2-)dual $(\mathcal{S}_{s,h})'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ of $\mathcal{S}_{s,h}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is a Banach space which contains $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

The *Gelfand-Shilov spaces* $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\Sigma_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ are the inductive limit and projective limit, respectively of $\mathcal{S}_{s,h}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ with respect to h. This implies that

$$\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d) = \bigcup_{h>0} \mathcal{S}_{s,h}(\mathbf{R}^d) \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_s(\mathbf{R}^d) = \bigcap_{h>0} \mathcal{S}_{s,h}(\mathbf{R}^d), \tag{5}$$

and that the latter space is a Fréchet space with semi norms $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}_{s,h}}$, $h > 0$.

The *Gelfand-Shilov distribution spaces* $\mathcal{S}'_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\Sigma'_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ are the projective limit and the inductive limit respectively of $\mathcal{S}'_{s,h}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ with respect to $h > 0$. Hence

$$\mathcal{S}'_s(\mathbf{R}^d) = \bigcap_{h>0} \mathcal{S}'_{s,h}(\mathbf{R}^d) \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma'_s(\mathbf{R}^d) = \bigcup_{h>0} \mathcal{S}'_{s,h}(\mathbf{R}^d). \tag{5$'$}$$

We remark that $\mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\Sigma_s'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ are the duals of $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\Sigma_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$, also in topological sense (cf. [18, 19]).

From now on we let $\mathscr{F}$ be the Fourier transform, given by

$$(\mathscr{F}f)(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) \equiv (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(x) e^{-i\langle x,\xi\rangle}\, dx$$

when $f \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Here $\langle\, \cdot\, ,\, \cdot\, \rangle$ denotes the usual scalar product on $\mathbf{R}^d$. The map $\mathscr{F}$ extends uniquely to homeomorphisms on $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$, $\mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\Sigma_s'(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and restricts to homeomorphisms on $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\Sigma_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and to a unitary operator on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Next we recall some mapping properties of Gelfand-Shilov spaces under short-time Fourier transforms and t-Wigner distributions. Let $\phi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be fixed. For every $t \in \mathbf{R}$, $f \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$, the *short-time Fourier transform* $V_\phi f$ is the distribution on $\mathbf{R}^{2d}$ defined by the formula

$$(V_\phi f)(x,\xi) = \mathcal{F}(f\, \overline{\phi(\,\cdot - x)})(\xi) = (f, \phi(\,\cdot - x)e^{i\langle\,\cdot\,,\xi\rangle}). \tag{6}$$

The *t-Wigner distribution* is given by

$$W_t(f,\phi)(x,\xi) \equiv \mathcal{F}(f(x + t\,\cdot\,)\overline{\phi(x - (1-t)\,\cdot\,)})(\xi), \tag{7}$$

and we observe that this definition coincide with (2) when $f, g \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

The definition of short-time Fourier transforms and Wigner distributions extend in different ways, and possess various kinds of continuity properties. In the context of test function spaces and distribution spaces we have the following.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and let $T(t,f,\phi) \equiv V_\phi f$ or $T(t,f,\phi) \equiv W_t(f,\phi)$ when $f, \phi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then the following is true:*

1. *the map $(t,f,\phi) \mapsto T(t,f,\phi)$ is continuous from $\mathbf{R} \times \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d) \times \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and restricts to a continuous map from $\mathbf{R} \times \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d) \times \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$;*
2. *the map $(t,f,\phi) \mapsto T(t,f,\phi)$ from $\mathbf{R} \times \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d) \times \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ extends uniquely to a continuous map from $\mathbf{R} \times \mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d) \times \mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and from $\mathbf{R} \times \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d) \times \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$.*

The same holds true for $s > \frac{1}{2}$ when each $\mathcal{S}_s$ and $\mathcal{S}_s'$ are replaced by Σ_s and Σ_s', respectively.

Proposition 2.1 is essentially available in the literature (see e. g. [9, 22]). Since in contrast we have included the parameter t as a variable, we here recall the arguments for the t-Wigner distribution.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{F}_2 F$ denote the partial Fourier of $F(x,y) \in \mathscr{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ with respect to the y-variable. By the definition we have

$$T(t,f,\phi) = \mathscr{F}_2 \circ U_t \circ S,$$

where

$$(U_t F)(x,y) = F(x + ty, x - (1-t)y) \quad \text{and} \quad S(f,\phi) = f \otimes \overline{\phi}.$$

Since it is evident that S is continuous from $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d) \times \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, that $(t, F) \mapsto U_t F$ is continuous from $\mathbf{R} \times \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ to $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, and that $\mathscr{F}_2$ is continuous on $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, it follows that T is continuous from $\mathbf{R} \times \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d) \times \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$.

The same holds true after each $\mathscr{S}$ is replaced by $\mathcal{S}_s$, Σ_s, $\mathcal{S}_s'$, Σ_s', or by $\mathscr{S}'$, and the other continuity assertions follow. □

Remark 2.2. By the previous proof it also follows that the mappings in Proposition 2.1 are in fact locally uniformly bounded.

We also recall that if T is the same as in Proposition 2.1, then the mappings

$$T : \mathbf{R} \times \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d) \times \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d) \to \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2d}) \bigcap C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{2d}),$$

$$T : \mathbf{R} \times \mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d) \times \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d) \to \mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^{2d}) \bigcap C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{2d}),$$

and

$$T : \mathbf{R} \times \Sigma_s'(\mathbf{R}^d) \times \Sigma_s(\mathbf{R}^d) \to \Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^{2d}) \bigcap C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$$

are continuous (cf. [9, 22, 25]).

There are several ways to characterize Gelfand-Shilov spaces and their distribution spaces. For example, they can easily be characterized by Hermite functions and other related functions (cf. e. g. [11, 16]). They can also be characterized by suitable estimates of their Fourier and Short-time Fourier transforms (cf. [7, 12, 22, 25]).

2.2. **Pseudo-differential operators.** Let $t \in \mathbf{R}$ be fixed. For any $a \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ (the symbol), the pseudo-differential operator $\operatorname{Op}_t(a)$ (of Shubin type) is the linear and continuous operator on $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, defined by

$$\operatorname{Op}_t(a) f(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \iint a((1-t)x + ty, \xi) f(y) e^{i\langle x-y,\xi\rangle}\, dy d\xi \qquad (8)$$

when $f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. By straightforward computations it follows that

$$(\operatorname{Op}_t(a) f, g)_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)} = (2\pi)^{-d/2} (a, W_t(g, f))_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2d})} \qquad (9)$$

when $g \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

If more generally $a \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ ($a \in \Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$), then $\operatorname{Op}_t(a)$ is the linear and continuous operator from $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ (from $\Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^d)$) such that $(\operatorname{Op}_t(a) f, g)$ is equal to the right-hand side of (9) when $f, g \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ ($f, g \in \Sigma(\mathbf{R}^d)$). This makes sense, in view of the continuity properties for the Wigner distribution, described above.

3. Born-Jordan operators with distribution symbols

In this section we deduce various kinds of mapping properties of $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{BJ}}(a)$ when a belongs to suitable test-function or distribution spaces. In particular we show that $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{BJ}}(a)$ makes sense as a continuous operator from $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ when $a \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$.

We begin with the following analogy of Proposition 2.1 in Born-Jordan situation.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $s \ge \frac{1}{2}$ and let $T(f,\phi) \equiv W_{\mathrm{BJ}}(f,\phi)$ when $f, \phi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then the following is true:*

1. *the map $(f,\phi) \mapsto T(f,\phi)$ is continuous from $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)\times\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and restricts to a continuous map from $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)\times\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$;*
2. *the map $(f,\phi) \mapsto T(f,\phi)$ from $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d) \times \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ extends uniquely to a continuous map from $\mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d) \times \mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and from $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d) \times \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$.*

The same holds true for $s > \frac{1}{2}$ when each $\mathcal{S}_s$ and $\mathcal{S}_s'$ are replaced by Σ_s and Σ_s', respectively.

Proof. We have

$$W_{BJ}(f,g) = \int_0^1 W_t(f,g)\,dt, \tag{10}$$

when $f, g \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. By Proposition 2.1 and Remark 2.2 it follows that the map $(f,\phi) \mapsto W_{BJ}(f,g)$ is continuous from $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d) \times \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, and that the same holds true if each $\mathscr{S}$ is replaced by $\mathcal{S}_s$ or by Σ_s.

By Proposition 2.1 and Remark 2.2 it follows that the map

$$(f,g) \mapsto \int_0^1 W_t(f,g)\,dt$$

is well-defined and continuous from $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)\times\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, and that the same holds true if each $\mathscr{S}'$ is replaced by $\mathcal{S}_s'$ or by Σ_s'. Hence, by letting $W_{\mathrm{BJ}}(f,g)$ be defined by the right-hand side of (10) for such f and g, the asserted continuity of the extensions of the map T follows.

It remains to show the asserted uniqueness of the extensions of T. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d)$. By Proposition 2.1 and its proof, and Remark 2.2, it follows that if

$$\{f_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d) \quad \text{and} \quad \{g_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d),$$

are such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0+} f_\varepsilon = f \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0+} g_\varepsilon = g$$

with convergence in $\mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d)$, then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0+} W_t(f_\varepsilon, g_\varepsilon) = W_t(f,g)$$

in $\mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, locally uniformly with respect to t. Hence

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0+} W_{\mathrm{BJ}}(f_\varepsilon, g_\varepsilon) = W_{\mathrm{BJ}}(f,g).$$

The uniqueness assertions now follow from the facts that we may choose f_ε and g_ε in $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and that

$$\mathscr{S}' \subseteq \Sigma_s' \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{1/2}',$$

and the result follows. □

We have now the following. Here $\mathscr{L}(V_1, V_2)$ is the set of all linear and continuous mappings from the topological vector space V_1 into the topological vector space V_2.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $s \ge \frac{1}{2}$. Then the following is true:*

1. *if $a \in \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, then $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{BJ}}(a)$ from $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is uniquely extendable to a continuous map from $\mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$;*
2. *the map $a \mapsto \operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{BJ}}(a)$ from $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ to $\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d), \mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d))$ is uniquely extendable from $\mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ to $\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d), \mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d))$.*

The same holds true if each $\mathcal{S}_s$ and $\mathcal{S}_s'$ are replaced by Σ_s and Σ_s', respectively, or by $\mathscr{S}$ and $\mathscr{S}'$.

Proof. We only prove (1) and (2). The assertions involving $\mathscr{S}$ or Σ_s, and their duals follow by similar arguments and are left for the reader.

By Proposition 3.1 it follows that the map

$$(a,f,g) \mapsto (2\pi)^{-d/2}(a, W_t(g,f))_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2d})}$$

from $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^{2d}) \times \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d) \times \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathbf{C}$ extends uniquely to continuous mappings from $\mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^{2d}) \times \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d) \times \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathbf{C}$, and from $\mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^{2d}) \times \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d) \times \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathbf{C}$. Hence, by letting $\operatorname{Op}_{BJ}(a)f$ be defined by (1), the asserted continuity follows. The uniqueness of these extensions follows by similar arguments to those of Proposition 3.1. The details are left to the reader.

□

4. Born-Jordan operators with modulation space symbols

In this section we deduce that any Born-Jordan operator with symbol in the modulation space $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ is a pseudo-differential operator with symbol in $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, when $\omega(x,\xi,\eta,y) = \omega_0(\eta,y)$. We also deduce continuity and Schatten-von Neumann properties for such operators.

We start with the following.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $p \in [1,\infty]$, $q \in (0,\infty]$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$, $\omega \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{4d})$ be such that $\omega(x,\xi,\eta,y) = \omega_0(\eta,y)$ for some $\omega_0 \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, and let $a \in M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. Then $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{BJ}}(a) = \operatorname{Op}_t(b)$ for some $b \in M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the result in the case $t = 0$. We also assume that $p, q < \infty$. The cases when $p = \infty$ or $q = \infty$ follow by similar arguments and are left for the reader.

Let $r = \min(1, q)$, $\Lambda_\varepsilon = \varepsilon\mathbf{Z}^{2d}$ and $\Lambda_\varepsilon^2 = \Lambda_\varepsilon \times \Lambda_\varepsilon$ when $\varepsilon > 0$. By [24] there are $v \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{4d})$ which is submultiplicative such that ω is v-moderate,

$$\Psi \in \Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^{2d}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_0 \in M^r_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$$

such that

$$a(X) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{j},\boldsymbol{k}\in\Lambda_\varepsilon} c(\boldsymbol{j},\boldsymbol{k})\Psi(X-\boldsymbol{j})e^{i\langle X,\rho(\boldsymbol{k})\rangle}, \tag{11}$$

where

$$c(\boldsymbol{j},\boldsymbol{k}) = (V_{\Psi_0}a)(\boldsymbol{j},\rho(\boldsymbol{k})) \tag{12}$$

when $a \in M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, provided $\varepsilon > 0$ is chosen small enough. Here ρ is the reflexion operator on $\mathbf{R}^{2d}$ given by $\rho(x,\xi) = (\xi, x)$ when $x, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^d$. We have

$$\|c\|_{\ell^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\Lambda_\varepsilon^2)} \asymp \|a\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}},$$

when c is given by (12).

For $a \in M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and $\Phi \in \Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^{2d}) \setminus 0$ fixed we now get

$$V_\Phi(e^{it\langle D_\xi, D_x\rangle}a) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{j},\boldsymbol{k}\in\Lambda_\varepsilon} c(\boldsymbol{j},\boldsymbol{k})H_{\boldsymbol{j},\boldsymbol{k}}, \tag{13}$$

where

$$H_{\boldsymbol{j},\boldsymbol{k}} = V_\Phi(e^{it\langle D_\xi, D_x\rangle}(\Psi(\,\cdot\,-\boldsymbol{j})e^{i\langle\,\cdot\,,\rho(\boldsymbol{k})\rangle}).$$

We need to simplify $H_{\boldsymbol{j},\boldsymbol{k}}$. By the definitions and straightforward computations, using Fourier's inversion formula we get

$$H_{\boldsymbol{j},\boldsymbol{k}}(X,\rho(Y)) = e^{i(\langle X,\rho(\boldsymbol{k}-Y)\rangle + it\langle k,\kappa\rangle}(V_\Phi\Psi_t)(X-\boldsymbol{j}+t\boldsymbol{k},\rho(Y-\boldsymbol{k})),$$

where

$$\Psi_t = e^{it\langle D_\xi, D_x\rangle}\Psi \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{k} = (k,\kappa)$$

(see (1.13) in [21], and its proof).

Since $\Psi \in \Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, it follows from [6, 26] that $\{\,\Psi_t\,;\,t \in [0,1]\,\}$ is a bounded set in $\Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. Hence, by [7], for every $r > 0$ there is a constant C_r which is independent of $t \in [0,1]$ such that

$$|H_{\boldsymbol{j},\boldsymbol{k}}(X,\rho(Y))| = |(V_\Phi\Psi_t)(X-\boldsymbol{j}+t\boldsymbol{k},\rho(Y-\boldsymbol{k}))| \le C_r e^{-r(|X-\boldsymbol{j}+t\boldsymbol{k}|+|Y-\boldsymbol{k}|)}$$

By using the latter estimate in (13) we get with $r > 0$ large enough that

$$|V_\Phi b(X,\rho(Y))| \lesssim \sum_{\boldsymbol{j},\boldsymbol{k}\in\Lambda_\varepsilon} |c(\boldsymbol{j},\boldsymbol{k})| \int_0^1 e^{-r(|X-\boldsymbol{j}+t\boldsymbol{k}|}\,dt e^{-2r|Y-\boldsymbol{k}|}\omega_0(\rho(Y))$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{\boldsymbol{j},\boldsymbol{k}\in\Lambda_\varepsilon} |c(\boldsymbol{j},\boldsymbol{k})| \int_0^1 e^{-r(|X-\boldsymbol{j}+t\boldsymbol{k}|}\,dt e^{-r|Y-\boldsymbol{k}|}\omega_0(\rho(\boldsymbol{k})).$$

It now follows from Minkowski's inequality that

$$\|V_\Phi b(\,\cdot\,,\rho(Y))\|_{\ell^p(\Lambda_\varepsilon)}\omega_0(\rho(Y)) \lesssim \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\in\Lambda_\varepsilon} h(\boldsymbol{k})e^{-r|Y-\boldsymbol{k}|}\omega_0(\rho(\boldsymbol{k}))$$

where

$$h(\boldsymbol{k}) = \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{m}\in\Lambda_\varepsilon}\left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{j}\in\Lambda_\varepsilon}|c(\boldsymbol{j},\boldsymbol{k})|e^{-r|\boldsymbol{m}-\boldsymbol{j}+t\boldsymbol{k}|}\right)^p\right)^{1/p} dt.$$

We have

$$h(\boldsymbol{k}) \lesssim \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{m}\in\Lambda_\varepsilon}\left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{j}\in\Lambda_\varepsilon}|c(\boldsymbol{j},\boldsymbol{k})|e^{-r|\boldsymbol{m}-\boldsymbol{j}|}\right)^p\right)^{1/p} dt$$

$$= \|c(\,\cdot\,,\boldsymbol{k}) * e^{-r|\,\cdot\,|}\|_{\ell^p} \lesssim \|c(\,\cdot\,,\boldsymbol{k})\|_{\ell^p},$$

where the last step follows from Young's inequality. Here $*$ denotes the discrete convolution. If $c_0(\boldsymbol{k}) = \|c(\,\cdot\,,\boldsymbol{k})\|_{\ell^p}\omega_0(\rho(\boldsymbol{k}))$, then we get from these estimates that

$$\|V_\Phi b(\,\cdot\,,\rho(\boldsymbol{n}))\|_{\ell^p(\Lambda_\varepsilon)}\omega_0(\rho(\boldsymbol{n})) \lesssim \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\in\Lambda_\varepsilon} c_0(\boldsymbol{k})e^{-r|\boldsymbol{n}-\boldsymbol{k}|} = (c_0 * e^{-r|\,\cdot\,|})(\boldsymbol{n})$$

By applying the ℓ^q norm on the last inequality we get

$$\|b\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}} \asymp \|c\|_{\ell^{p,q}_{(\omega)}}$$

$$\lesssim \|c_0 * e^{-r|\,\cdot\,|}\|_{\ell^q} \le \|c_0\|_{\ell^q}\|e^{-r|\,\cdot\,|}\|_{\ell^r} \asymp \|c_0\|_{\ell^q} \asymp \|a\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}}.$$

Hence we have proved

$$\|b\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}} \lesssim \|a\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}},$$

and the result follows. □

The next result follows from the previous one and the fact that $M^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^{2d}) \subseteq s_{t,p}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ when $q \le \min(p,p')$. Here $s_{t,p}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ is the set of all symbols a in $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ such that $\operatorname{Op}_t(a)$ belongs to $\mathscr{I}_p$, the set of all Schatten-von Neumann operators on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ of order p.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $p,q \in [1,\infty]$ be such that $q \le \min(p,p')$. If $a \in M^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, then $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{BJ}}(a) \in \mathscr{I}_p$.*

5. Born-Jordan operators with Hörmander symbols

In this section we show that any Born-Jordan operator with symbol in certain Hörmander class is a pseudo-differential operator with symbol in the same class. Furthermore, we prove sharp-Gårding's and Feffermann-Phong's inequalities for such operators.

First we recall the definition of the involved symbol classes. Let g be a Riemannian metric on the phase space $W = T^*\mathbf{R}^d \simeq \mathbf{R}^{2d}$, let $m > 0$ be a function in $L^\infty_{loc}(W)$, and let $N \geq 0$ be an integer. For any $a \in C^N(W)$ and $X = (x, \xi) \in W$, let $|a|^g_0(X) = |a(X)|$, and

$$|a|^g_k(X) \equiv \sup |a^{(k)}(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_k)|, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Here the supremum is taken over all $Y_1, \dots, Y_k \in W$ such that $g_X(Y_j) \leq 1$ for every $j = 1, \dots, k$. We also set

$$\|a\|^g_{m,N} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{N} \sup_{X \in W} (|a|^g_k(X)/m(X)).$$

Then $S_N(m, g)$ is the Banach space which consists of all $a \in C^N(W)$ such that $\|a\|^g_{m,N}$ is finite. Furthermore,

$$S(m, g) = \bigcap_{N \geq 0} S_N(m, g),$$

and equip this space by the topology, induced by the semi-norms $\| \cdot \|^g_{m,N}$, $N \geq 0$.

The dual metric g^σ with respect to the (standard) symplectic form σ is defined by

$$g^\sigma_X(Z) \equiv \sup |\sigma(Y, Z)|^2, \quad X, Z \in W$$

where the supremum is taken over all $Y \in W$ such that $g_X(Y) \leq 1$. Furthermore, the Planck's function $h_g(X)$ is defined by

$$h_g(X) \equiv \sup g_X(Y)^{1/2}, \quad X \in W.$$

where the supremum is taken over all $Y \in W$ such that $g^\sigma_X(Y) \leq 1$.

As in [5, 20] we need some restrictions on m and g. More precisely, the metric g on W is called slowly varying if there are constants $c, C > 0$ such that

$$C^{-1} g_X \leq g_Y \leq C g_X, \quad \text{when} \quad g_X(Y) < c,$$

and m is called g-continuous if there are constants $c, C > 0$ such that

$$C^{-1} m(X) \leq m(Y) \leq C m(X), \quad \text{when} \quad g_X(Y) < c,$$

The metric g is called σ-temperate if there are constants $C, N > 0$ such that

$$g_X(Z) \leq C g_Y(Z)(1 + g_X(X - Y))^N, \quad \text{for all} \quad X, Y, Z \in W,$$

and m is called (σ,g)-temperate if there are constants $C,N>0$ such that

$$m(X)\le Cm(Y)(1+g_X(X-Y))^N,\quad \text{for all}\quad X,Y\in W.$$

Furthermore, it is most of the times assumed that the uncertainty principle holds, i. e. $h_g(X)\le 1$ for every $X\in W$.

The metric g on W is called *strongly feasible* if g is slowly varying, σ-temperate and $h_g\le 1$. The weight m is called *g-feasible* if m is g-continuous and (σ,g)-temperate.

Finally, the metric g on W is called *split*, if

$$g_X(z,\zeta)=g_X(z,-\zeta),\quad \text{when}\quad X,(z,\zeta)\in W.$$

We have now the following.

Theorem 5.1. *Let g be strongly feasible and split on W, m be g-feasible, $N>0$ be an integer, and let $a\in S(m,g)$. Then*

$$\operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{BJ}}(a)=\operatorname{Op}^w(b)$$

for some $b\in S(m,g)$. Furthermore,

$$b-\sum_{2j<N}\frac{(-1)^j\langle D_\xi,D_x\rangle^{2j}a}{4^j(2j+1)!}\in S(h_g^Nm,g)\tag{14}$$

For the proof we need the following proposition. We omit the proof, since the result is essentially a restatement of Proposition 18.5.10 (?) in [15].

Proposition 5.2. *Let g be strongly feasible and split on W, m be g-feasible, $I\subset\mathbf{R}$ be bounded, $N>0$ be an integer, $a\in S(m,g)$, and let $a_t\in\mathscr{S}'(W)$, be such that*

$$\operatorname{Op}^w(a)=\operatorname{Op}_t(a_t),\qquad t\in I.\tag{15}$$

Then $\{a_t\}_{t\in I}$ is a bounded subset of $S(m,g)$, and for some bounded subset Ω of $S(h_g^Nm,g)$ it holds

$$a_t-\sum_{k<N}\left(t-\frac12\right)^k\frac{i^k\langle D_\xi,D_x\rangle^ka}{k!}\in\Omega.\tag{16}$$

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Let a_t be the same as in Proposition 5.2. Then

$$b=\int_0^1 a_t\,dt,$$

and Proposition 5.2 shows that $b\in S(m,g)$. Furthermore, by (16) we get

$$b-\sum_{k<N}\left(\int_0^1\left(t-\frac12\right)^k dt\cdot\frac{i^k\langle D_\xi,D_x\rangle^ka}{k!}\right)\in S(h_g^Nm,g),$$

which gives (14). The proof is complete. □

Theorem 5.1 shows that several continuity properties in the Weyl calculus in Chapter XVIII in [15] carry over to Born-Jordan operators. For example, the following results are immediate consequences of Propositions 18.6.2 and 18.6.3 in [15], and Theorem 5.1.

Proposition 5.3. *Let g be strongly feasible and split on W, m be g-feasible, and let $a \in S(m,g)$. Then $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{BJ}}(a)$ is continuous on $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and is uniquely extendable to a continuous operator on $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$.*

Proposition 5.4. *Let g be strongly feasible and split on W, and let $a \in S(1,g)$. Then $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{BJ}}(a)$ is continuous on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$.*

Remark 5.5. In [3], Bony and Chemin introduced a broad family of Sobolev type spaces, where each space $H(m_0,g)$ is a Hilbert space and depends on the choice of the strongly feasible metric g and the g-feasible weight m_0. By Théorème 4.5 in [3], the fact that $H(1,g) = L^2$ and Theorem 5.1, it follows that Proposition 5.4 can be extended in the following way.

Let g be strongly feasible and split on W, m and m_0 be g-feasible, and let $a \in S(1,g)$. Then $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{BJ}}(a)$ is continuous from $H(m_0,g)$ to $H(m_0/m,g)$.

We also have the following slight extension of the previous result. Here and in what follows we let $L^\infty_0(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be the set of all $f \in L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ vanishing at infinity, i. e. f should satisfy

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{|x|\geq R} |f(x)| = 0.$$

Proposition 5.6. *Let $p \in [1,\infty]$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$, g be strongly feasible and split, m be g-continuous and (σ,g)-temperate, and let $a \in S(m,g)$. Then the following is true:*

1. *if $h_g^{k/2} m \in L^p(W)$ for some $k \geq 0$, and $a \in L^p(W)$, then $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{BJ}}(a) \in \mathscr{I}_p$;*
2. *if $h_g^{k/2} m \in L^\infty_0(W)$ for some $k \geq 0$, and $a \in L^\infty_0(W)$, then $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{BJ}}(a)$ is compact on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$.*

Corollary 5.7. *Let $p \in [1,\infty]$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$, g be strongly feasible and split, m be g-continuous and (σ,g)-temperate, and let $a \in S(m,g)$. Then the following is true:*

1. *if $m \in L^p(W)$, then $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{BJ}}(a) \in \mathscr{I}_p$;*
2. *if $m \in L^\infty_0(W)$, then $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{BJ}}(a)$ is compact on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$.*

For the proof of Proposition 5.6 we need the following result which is a slight extension of [5, Proposition 2.10]. The proof is omitted, since the result follows by the arguments in the proof of [5, Proposition 2.10].

Lemma 5.8. *Let p and g be the same as in Proposition 5.6 and let m be g-continuous, (σ,g)-temperate and satisfies $h_g^{N/2} m \in L^p(W)$ ($h_g^{N/2} m \in$*

$L_0^\infty(W)$) for some $N \geq 0$. Also let $t \in [0,1]$, and let $a, a_t \in \mathscr{S}'(W)$ be related as in (15). Then the map $a \mapsto a_t$ on $\mathscr{S}'(W)$ restricts to a continuous isomorphism on $S(m,g) \cap L^p(W)$ ($S(m,g) \cap L_0^\infty(W)$), which is uniformly bounded with respect to $t \in [0,1]$.

Proof of Proposition 5.6. Again let b be chosen such that $\operatorname{Op}^w(b) = \operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{BJ}}(a)$. Then Lemma 5.8 shows that $b \in L^p(W) \cap S(m,g)$ when $a \in L^p(W) \cap S(m,g)$, and that $b \in L_0^\infty(W) \cap S(m,g)$ when $b \in L_0^\infty(W) \cap S(m,g)$. The result now follows from Theorem 2.9 in [5]. □

Finally we also have the following Feffermann-Phong's inequality inequality for Born-Jordan operators, which in particular shows that Sharp-Gårding's inequality is also true for such operators.

Proposition 5.9. *Let g be strongly feasible and split on W, and let $0 \leq a \in S(h_g^{-2}, g)$. Then $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{BJ}}(a) \geq -C$ for some constant $C \geq 0$.*

Proof. By letting b be defined by $\operatorname{Op}^w(b) = \operatorname{Op}_{BJ}(a)$ choosing $N = 2$ in Theorem 5.1, it follows that $b = a + c$, where $c \in S(1,g)$. The result now follows from the facts that $\operatorname{Op}^w(a)$ is lower bounded on L^2 by the Feffermann-Phong's inequality for Weyl operators (cf. [15, Theorem 18.6.?]), and the fact that $\operatorname{Op}^w(c)$ is bounded on L^2 in view of [15, Proposition 18.6.3]. □

References

[1] P. Boggiatto, G. De Donno, A. Oliaro *Time-frequency representations of Wigner type and pseudo-differential operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362** (2010), 4955–4981.

[2] P. Boggiatto, Bui Kien Cuong, G. De Donno, A. Oliaro *Weighted integrals of Wigner representations*, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl. **1** (2010), 401–415.

[3] J. M. Bony, J. Y. Chemin *Espaces functionnels associés au calcul de Weyl-Hörmander*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **122** (1994), 77–118.

[4] M. Born, P. Jordan *Zur Quantenmechanik* Z. Physik **34** (1925), 858–888.

[5] E. Buzano, J. Toft *Schatten-von Neumann properties in the Weyl calculus*, J. Funct. Anal. **259** (2010), 3080–3114.

[6] M. Cappiello, J. Toft, *Pseudo-differential operators in a Gelfand–Shilov setting*, Math. Nachr., Early View, DOI: 10.1002/mana.201500465, 2016.

[7] J. Chung, S.-Y. Chung, D. Kim *Characterizations of the Gelfand-Shilov spaces via Fourier transforms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), 2101–2108.

[8] E. Cordero, M. de Gosson, F. Nicola *Born-Jordan pseudo-differential operators with symbols in the Shubin classes* (preprint) Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).

[9] E. Cordero, S. Pilipović, L. Rodino, N. Teofanov *Quasianalytic Gelfand-Shilov spaces with applications to localization operators*, Rocky Mt. J. Math. **40** (2010), 1123–1147.

[10] M. de Gosson *Symplectic covariance properties for Shubin and Born-Jordan pseudo-differential operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **365** (2013), 3287–3307.

[11] T. Gramchev, S. Pilipović, L. Rodino *Classes of degenerate elliptic operators in Gelfand-Shilov spaces in: L. Rodino, M. W. Wong (Eds) New developments in pseudo-differential operators,* Operator Theory: Advances and Applications **189**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel 2009, pp. 15–31.

[12] K. Gröchenig, G. Zimmermann *Spaces of test functions via the STFT*, J. Funct. Spaces Appl. **2** (2004), 25–53.
[13] L. Hörmander *Pseudo-differential operators*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **18**, (1965), 501–517.
[14] L. Hörmander *The Weyl calculus of pseudo-differential operators*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **32** (1979), 359–443.
[15] L. Hörmander. The Analysis of Linear Partial differential Operators. Springer-Verlag, III, 1985
[16] A.M.E.M. Janssen, S.J.L. Eijndhoven *Spaces of type* W, growth of Hermite coefficients, Wigner distribution, and Bargmann transform, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **152** (1990), 368–390.
[17] J. J. Kohn and L. Nirenberg *On the algebra of pseudo-differential operators*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **18**, (1965), 269–305.
[18] S. Pilipović *Generalization of Zemanian spaces of generalized functions which have orthonormal series expansions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **17** (1986), 477484.
[19] S. Pilipović *Tempered ultradistributions*, Boll. U.M.I. **7** (1988), 235–251.
[20] J. Toft *Schatten-von Neumann properties in the Weyl calculus, and calculus of metrics on symplectic vector spaces*, Ann. Glob. Anal. and Geom. **30** (2006), 169–209.
[21] J. Toft *Continuity and Schatten properties for pseudo-differential operators on modulation spaces* in: J. Toft, M. W. Wong, H. Zhu (eds) *Modern Trends in Pseudo-Differential Operators,* Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007, 173–206.
[22] J. Toft *The Bargmann transform on modulation and Gelfand-Shilov spaces, with applications to Toeplitz and pseudo-differential operators*, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl. **3** (2012), 145–227.
[23] J. Toft *Multiplication properties in Gelfand-Shilov pseudo-differential calculus* in: S. Molahajlo, S. Pilipović, J. Toft, M. W. Wong (eds) *Pseudo-Differential Operators, Generalized Functions and Asymptotics,* Operator Theory: Advances and Applications **231**, Birkhäuser, Basel Heidelberg NewYork Dordrecht London, 2013, pp. 117–172.
[24] J. Toft *Gabor analysis for a broad class of quasi-Banach modulation spaces* in: S. Pilipović, J. Toft (eds)*, Pseudo-differential operators, generalized functions*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications **245**, Birkhäuser, 2015, 249–278.
[25] J. Toft *Images of function and distribution spaces under the Bargmann transform*, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl. (appeared online 2016).
[26] G. Tranquilli *Global normal forms and global properties in function spaces for second order Shubin type operators* PhD Thesis, 2013.
[27] V. Turunen *Born–Jordan time-frequency analysis, Harmonic Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations* in: H. Kubo M. Sugimoto (eds), RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu, Research Institute of Mathematical Sciences **B56**, Kyoto University (2016), pp. 107–186.
[28] H.Weyl *Gruppentheorie und Quantenmechanik*, Verlag von S.Hirzel, Leipzig, 1928.

Faculty of Mathematics, NuHAG, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria
E-mail address: maurice.degosson@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, Linnæus University, Växjö, Sweden
E-mail address: joachim.toft@lnu.se